Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

## Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

## 1. General Description of Data to be Managed

### 1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

A Dataset from Bio-loggers Deployed on Harbor Seals (Phoca vitulina) in Cook Inlet, Alaska (2004-2007)

## 1.2. Summary description of the data:

Between 2004 and 2006 we conducted four harbor seal tagging trips in Cook Inlet during the months of October and May. In total, we captured and released 93 harbor seals, 77 of which were tagged with satellite transmitters. Each transmitter was glued to the hair on the back of the seal using durable epoxy. Fourteen of the seals were also equipped with specially developed transmitters that were attached to one of the rear flippers. Transmissions from the 91 tags resulted in 178,536 location estimates and 310,593 dive and haul-out behavior records. These data formed the basis for the development of novel analysis techniques. Johnson et al. (2008) described a novel continuous-time correlated random walk (CTCRW) method for predicting animal locations from satellite tags. Higgs and Ver Hoef (2011) described a new statistical method for analyzing dive behavior based on dive histogram recordings obtained from satellite tags.

The data files within this dataset represent the 'raw' data obtained from the Wildlife Computers data portal. Each deployment (unique tag id + animal id combination) is provided as a zipped archive. The root folder also includes additional documentation. The various files and detailed column descriptions are described in the 'Spreadsheet-File-Descriptions.pdf' which was downloaded from Wildlife Computers (https://wildlifecomputers.com/support/downloads/). The '00\_cookinletpv\_get\_data.Rmd' file is an RMarkdown file that provides code and documentation of the data retrieval process. The corresponding '00\_cookinlet\_get\_data.hml' file is autogenerated from the RMarkdown file.

# **1.3.** Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements? One-time data collection

## 1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2004 to 2006

## 1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

Cook Inlet, Gulf of Alaska and Shelikof Strait

W: -162, E: -147, N: 61.7, S: 54.8

### 1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Table (digital)

### 1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

Instrument: Animal Mounted Instrument - Argos Satellite Transmitter

Platform: Animal Based Platform - Mammal - Phocid

Physical Collection / Fishing Gear: N/A

## 1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

## 1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

### 2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

#### 2.1. Name:

Josh London

### 2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

### 2.3. Affiliation or facility:

### 2.4. E-mail address:

josh.london@noaa.gov

### 2.5. Phone number:

206-526-4296

### 3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

## 3.1. Name:

Stacie Hardy

#### 3.2. Title:

Data Steward

## 4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

**4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?** Yes

# 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management ( specify percentage or "unknown"):

Unknown

## 5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

## 5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Lineage Statement:

Between 2004 and 2007, NOAA researchers captured, instrumented and released 93 harbor seals with satellite-linked bio-logging devices in Cook Inlet, Alaska. All age classes of animals were targeted. Although, there is uncertainty associated with field classification of age in seals. Satellite telemetry devices were attached to the hair of the back of the seals using fast-setting epoxy and, in some cases, to the rear inter-digital flipper webbing with two posts and holes. All data provided are included as zipped archives that contain a variety of files processed on the Wildlife Computers Data Portal. The specific files included are dependent upon the tag hardware, firmware, and programming options selected. The files and column heading descriptions are provided in the 'Spreadhseet-File-Descriptions.pdf' which was downloaded from the Wildlife Computers web site (https://wildlifecomputers.com/wp-content/uploads/manuals/ Spreadsheet-File-Descriptions.pdf) on May 20 2019. The programming specifications and tag details are provided in either a \*.htm or \*.rpt file. These are the files created at the time the tag was programmed. The included '00 cookinletpy get data.Rmd' is an R Markdown file that describes the process for downloading and renaming files from the Wildlife Computers Data Portal. The '00 cookinletpy get data.html' file is created when this R Markdown file is run

### **Process Steps:**

- The included R Markdown file '00\_cookinletpv\_get\_data.Rmd' and the corresponding HTML file '00\_cookinletpv\_get\_data.html' provide the R code and inline documentation describing the process by which the data were obtained from the Wildlife Computers Data Portal
- 5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

Limited quality control procedures have been employed. This dataset is an archive of the processed output from the Wildlife Computers data processing software. These tags were deployed on free-ranging, wild animals in challenging environments. In all cases, additional quality control procedures and evaluation from experts familiar with the tags, programming and species will be required.

### 6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

- 6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?
  - 6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:
- 6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

- 6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:
- 6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:

https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/28173

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC\_PD-Data\_Documentation\_v1.pdf

### 7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

Yes

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

## 7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

### 7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC)

### 7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

### 7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

https://access.afsc.noaa.gov/data-zips/28173\_HarborSeals\_CookInlet.zip

### 7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

The data set is intended to be archived with the US Animal Telemetry Network and the Data Observation Network for Earth (DataONE). Once the archival process is complete and verified, the data set will be publicly available.

## 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

unknown

# 7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

data are not automatically processed

### 8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

### 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

World Data Center (WDC) Facility

### 8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

**DataONE** 

### 8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

## 8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

Alaska Fisheries Science Center - Seattle, WA

## 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility: unknown

# 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage

relevant to the data collection

IT Security and Contingency Plan for the system establishes procedures and applies to the functions, operations, and resources necessary to recover and restore data as hosted in the Western Regional Support Center in Seattle, Washington, following a disruption.

## 9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.